Surgical Neurology International

Editor-in-Chief: Nancy E. Epstein, MD, NYU Winthrop Hospital, Mineola, NY, USA.

SNI: Spine

Letter to the Editor

Nancy E. Epstein, MD NYU, Winthrop Hospital, Mineola, NY, USA

Polyaxial pedicle screw dislocation during screw tightening for posterior spinal lumbar stabilization

Ali Akhaddar^{1,2}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Avicenne Military Hospital, Marrakech, ²Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco.

E-mail: *Ali Akhaddar - akhaddar@hotmail.fr

ScientificScholar®

Publisher of Scientific Journals

Knowledge is power

*Corresponding author:

COMMENTARY

Pr. Ali Akhaddar, 267, Lotissement Masmoudi, Targa, 40120, Marrakech, Morocco.

akhaddar@hotmail.fr

Received :	28 November 19
Accepted :	06 December 19
Published :	27 December 19

DOI 10.25259/SNI_571_2019

Quick Response Code:



The polyaxial pedicle screw (PAPS) system is widely used for posterior spinal stabilization. Unlike monoaxial screws, the PAPS has the advantage of moving along several different axes, allowing the surgeon some flexibility in their placement. Nevertheless, pedicle screws are associated with their own unique surgical complications.^[1,4] Here, we report a case in which the head of the PAPS accidentally separated/dislocated during posterior fixation for a lumbar burst fracture.

CASE SUMMARY

A 21-year-old male, neurologically intact following a motor vehicle accident, had a L3 burst fracture as demonstrated on the emergent spinal computed tomography-scan [Figure 1a]. He underwent a posterior surgical reduction, decompression, and stabilization using PAPS [Figure 1b]. However, during the right L4 PAPS tightening, the head was suddenly detached from the screw shank [Figure 2]. This caused the surgeon to directly injure the lumbar spine with the screwdriver; fortunately, this did not result in any adverse sequelae. The screw was removed and a second spare screw was finally replaced. The operation ended successfully and the postoperative recovery was uneventful. He was discharged on the 10th postoperative day.

IMPLANT RETURNED TO MANUFACTURER

The implant was sent to the manufacturer for their examination; they found "witness marks" and "deformation" noted on the inner diameter surface below the retaining ring pocket of the head; this suggested the ring may not have been fully seated in the ring screw pocket [Figure 3]. The manufacturer's conclusion was consistent with a manufacturing error, resulting in the foregoing event.

This case emphasizes the importance of proper checking of the integrity of the surgical implant before its use. In addition, industry has to guarantee the quality of their product. Here, this unpredictable incident was recognized immediately without serious consequences for the patient. One similar case was previously reported; however, there was no clear manufacturer defect.^[3]

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2019 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Surgical Neurology International



Editor



Figure 1: A burst fracture of L3 as seen on sagittal reconstruction computed tomography scan (bone windows) before operation (a). Postoperative lumbar spinal X-rays showing the emplacement of polyaxial pedicle screws (on L1, L2, L4, and L5), decompressive posterior laminectomy (on L3 and L2) with bilateral connecting rod screws (b).



Figure 2: Polyaxial pedicle screws before use. These multiaxial screws shave 360° head polar mobility (a). Picture of the dislocated polyaxial screw: The screw head was detached from screw shank (b).

Device component failure should always be considered as a potential risk associated with any spinal system, which may induce neurological/spinal complications and injuries to vessels, nerves, and other organs. Furthermore, incidents and accidents with medical devices must be reported to the National Medicines Agency of each country and the manufacturers to prevent future possible complications.^[2,5]

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the companies Maroc Systèmes Santé (Casablanca, Morocco) and Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA Incorporated for their collaboration to understand this event.



Figure 3: The technical analysis of the manufacturer has found witness marks and deformation noted on the inner diameter surface below retaining ring pocket of the head screw (visual review [a] and microscopic review [b]).

Declaration of patient consent

The author certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Akhaddar A, Atmane el M. Pedicle screw malposition following spinal lumbar injury. Pan Afr Med J 2014;17:266.
- Amoore J, Ingram P. Quality improvement report: Learning from adverse incidents involving medical devices. BMJ 2002;325:272-5.
- Du Plessis PN, Lau BP, Hey HW. Traumatic dislocation of the S1 polyaxial pedicle screw head: A case report. J Spine Surg 2017;3:95-101.
- Gautschi OP, Schatlo B, Schaller K, Tessitore E. Clinically relevant complications related to pedicle screw placement in thoracolumbar surgery and their management: A literature review of 35,630 pedicle screws. Neurosurg Focus 2011;31:E8.
- Lennard N, Coutinho M, Campbell B. The surgeon and medical devices: Adverse incident reporting and off-label use. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2013;95:309-10.

How to cite this article: Akhaddar A. Polyaxial pedicle screw dislocation during screw tightening for posterior spinal lumbar stabilization. Surg Neurol Int 2019;10:255.