www.surgicalneurologyint.com

Publisher of Scientific Journals

Original Article

Surgical Neurology International Editor-in-Chief: Nancy E. Epstein, MD, Clinical Professor of Neurological Surgery, School of Medicine, State U. of NY at Stony Brook.

SNI: General Neurosurgery



Editor

Eric Nussbaum, MD National Brain Aneurysm and Tumor Center, Twin Cities, MN, USA

Effect of cranioplasty timing on the functional neurological outcome and postoperative complications

Ahmed Aloraidi^{1,2,3}, Ali Alkhaibary^{1,2,3}, Ahoud Alharbi^{1,2,3}, Nada Alnefaie^{1,2}, Abeer Alaglan^{1,2,3}, Abdulaziz AlQarni^{1,2,3}, Turki Elarjani⁴, Ala Arab^{1,2,3}, Jamal M. Abdullah⁵, Abdulaziz Oqalaa Almubarak⁶, Munzir Abbas^{1,2,3}, Ibtesam Khairy⁷, Wedad H. Almadani⁸, Mohammed Alowhaibi^{2,3}, Abdulaziz Alarifi^{2,3}, Sami Khairy^{1,2,3}, Ahmed Alkhani^{2,3}

¹College of Medicine, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ²King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ³Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Ministry of National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁴University of Miami, Department of Neurological Surgery, Miami, FL. USA, ⁵Department of Neurosurgery, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, Arabia, ⁶Prince Mohammed Medical City, Jouf, Saudi Arabia, ⁷Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia, ⁸National Center for Evidence Based Healthcare, Saudi Health Council, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail: Ahmed Aloraidi - loraidiah@ngha.med.sa; *Ali Alkhaibary - alkhaibarya@hotmail.com; Ahoud Alharbi - lharbi1ahoud@gmail.com; Nada Alnefaie - adacls92@gmail.com; Abeer Alaglan - laglanab@ngha.med.sa; Abdulaziz Algarni - lgarniab@ngha.med.sa; Turki Elarjani - elarjani@gmail.com; Alaa Arab - la_arab@yahoo.com; Jamal M. Abdullah - drjamal.abdullah@gmail.com; Abdulaziz Oqalaa Almubarak - aalmubarak89@gmail.com; Munzir Abbas - lmonzir2008@yahoo.com; Ibtesam Khairy - btesamk2016@gmail.com; Wedad H. Almadani - adaniwh@gmail.com; Mohammed Alwohaibi - ohaibidr@ vahoo.com; Abdulaziz Alarifi - larif100@hotmail.com; Ahmed Alkhani - lkhaniah@ngha.med.sa; Sami Khairy - rsami2009@hotmail.com

*Corresponding author:

Ali Alkhaibary, College of Medicine, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

alkhaibarya@hotmail.com

Received : 10 November 2020 Accepted : 28 April 2021 Published : 07 June 2021

DOI 10.25259/SNI_802_2020

Quick Response Code:



ABSTRACT

Background: The optimal timing for performing cranioplasty and its effect on functional outcome remains debatable. Multiple confounding factors may come into role; including the material used, surgical technique, cognitive assessment tools, and the overall complications. The aim of this study is to assess the neurological outcome and postoperative complications in patients who underwent early versus late cranioplasty.

Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted to investigate the neurological outcome and postoperative complications in patients who underwent cranioplasty between 2005 and 2018 at a Level l trauma center. Early and late cranioplasties were defined as surgeries performed within and more than 90 days of decompressive craniectomy, respectively. The Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS) and modified Rankin scale (mRS), recorded within 1 week of cranioplasty, were used to assess the neurological outcome.

Results: A total of 101 cases of cranioplasty were included in the study. The mean age of the patients was 31.4 ± 13.9 years. Most patients (n = 86; 85.1%) were male. The mean GOS for all patients was 4.0 ± 1.0 . The mean mRS was 2.2 ± 1.78 . Hydrocephalus was noted in 18 patients (early, n = 6; late, n = 12; P = 0.48). Seizures developed in 28 patients (early, n = 12; late, n = 16; P = 0.77).

Conclusion: The neurological outcome in patients who underwent early versus late cranioplasty is almost identical. The differences in the rates of overall postoperative complications between early versus late cranioplasty were statistically insignificant. The optimal timing for performing cranioplasty is mainly dependent on the resolution of cerebral swelling.

Keywords: Bone flap, Comparison, Glasgow Outcome Scale, Modified Rankin scale

INTRODUCTION

Although cranioplasty is a common neurosurgical procedure, it carries a high complication rate. The complication rates of cranioplasty may reach up to 34%.^[19] These complications include

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2021 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Surgical Neurology International

infection, hygroma, hydrocephalus, seizures, reoperations, intracranial hemorrhage, bone resorption, flap depression, and wound dehiscence. The factors that may increase or contribute to postoperative complications depend on patients' demographics, comorbidities, surgical procedures, and the underlying disease.^[8,10,19,26]

Considering the high complication rates of cranioplasty, it is necessary to compare the neurological outcome relative to the timing of cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy (DC). The purpose of the present study is to assess the neurological outcome and postoperative complication rates among patients who underwent early versus late cranioplasty at a major Level I trauma center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients' eligibility and study setting

This is a retrospective cohort study, reviewing the neurological outcome and postoperative complications, in cranioplasty patients. Data were collected for all eligible cranioplasties performed between January 2005 and December 2018 at King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. King Abdulaziz Medical City is a Level 1 trauma center serving Riyadh region and receives referrals from all over the country. King Abdulaziz Medical City was one of the main contributors to DC in diffuse traumatic brain injury (TBI) (DECRA Trial).^[15]

All patients who underwent cranioplasty, preserved in the abdominal pocket, during the specified period were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were; patients with congenital cranial defects repaired by cranioplasty, patients who had nonautologous cranioplasty, and patients who had more than 50% of the defect replaced with nonautologous bone even in the presence of his/her bone. In addition, all patients who did not have documented primary outcome postoperatively or on follow-up visits were excluded from the study.

Data collection

Data were retrieved from the archives of the neurosurgery department using two distinct methods; medical record files from 2005 to 2015 and the hospital's electronic system from 2016 to 2018. Data included patients' demographics (age, gender, and body mass index [BMI]) and postoperative complications (hydrocephalus, hygroma, seizure, sunken flap syndrome, and long-term mortality). The primary indications for performing DC were TBI and malignant cerebral infarction. Comorbidities refer to the confirmed diagnosis of diabetes, hypertension, or cancer.

Early and late cranioplasties were defined as surgeries performed within and more than 90 days of DC, respectively.

Long-term mortality was defined as death after 6 months of cranioplasty. [Figure 1] illustrates the month in which cranioplasty was performed after DC for all patients.

The Glasgow Outcome Score (GOS) and modified Rankin scale (mRS) were calculated to assess the neurological outcome. The GOS and mRS were recorded within 1 week of performing cranioplasty. The GOS is scored as follows; (1 = Death), (2 = Persistent vegetative state), (3 = Severe disability), (4 = Moderate disability), and (5 = Good recovery).^[23] The mRS is scored as follows; (0 = No symptoms), (1 = No significant disability despite symptoms), (2 = Slight disability), (3 = Moderate disability), (4 = Moderately severe disability), (5 = Severe disability), and (6 = Dead).^[36]

Statistical analysis

Data were coded and entered into IBM SPSS (version 23, IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, United States). Descriptive statistics were performed to present categorical data as frequencies and percentages. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for numerical variables including; age, GOS, mRS, BMI, and intensive care unit stay. The independent sample T-test was performed to calculate the mean differences in numerical data between early versus late cranioplasty. The Chi-square test was performed to assess the association between categorical data and the timing of cranioplasty. The statistical significance level of the *P*-value was set at 0.05.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC), Ministry of National Guard - Heath Affairs (NGHA), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Patients' identities were kept concealed and deidentified. The study was noninterventional and retrospective in nature.

RESULTS

A total of 101 cases of autologous cranioplasty were included in the study. The mean age of the patients was 31.4 ± 13.9 years. The duration of follow-up for all patients following cranioplasty was 474.3 ± 649.1 days. The mean GOS for all patients was 4 ± 1 . The mean mRS was 2.2 ± 1.78 . Most patients (n = 86; 85.1%) were male. The mean BMI was 24.0 ± 6.0 kg/. Most cranioplasty cases were performed late (n = 60; 59.4%). Approximately one-quarter of the patients (n = 26; 25.7%) required external ventricular drainage (EVD) insertion. A total of 19 patients (18.8%) were committed to shunt dependency surgery, that is, ventriculoperitoneal shunt. [Table 1] outlines the demographics of all patients who underwent autologous cranioplasty.



Late

Figure 1: Timing of cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy in successive months.

Among the cohort who underwent early cranioplasty, most decompressive craniectomies (n = 32; 78%) were performed within 24 h of admission. A total of 23 (56%) cases of decompressive craniectomies were right sided in the early cranioplasty group. TBI was the indication to perform DC in around two-thirds (n = 26; 63.4%) of the patients. In contrast, in the late cranioplasty cohort, a total of 14 (23.3%) patients underwent DC after 24 h of presentation to the emergency department. A total of 9 (15%) patients underwent bifrontal DC. The most frequent indication of DC was TBI (n = 38; 63.3%). [Table 2] compares the characteristics of DC between early versus late cranioplasty.

The mean age of patients who underwent early versus late cranioplasty was 32.0 ± 14.9 and 31 ± 13.3 years, respectively (P = 0.71). The mean BMI in early cranioplasty was 22.9 kg/m² as opposed to 24.9 kg/m² in late cranioplasty. The mean GOS for patients who underwent early and late cranioplasty was 4.1 ± 1.0 and 4.0 ± 1.0 , respectively (P = 0.64). The mean mRS for patients with early cranioplasty was 2.2 ± 1.7 . Patients who underwent late cranioplasty had an average mRS of 2.3 ± 1.7 . [Table 3] compares the average of continuous variables in relation to cranioplasty timing.

Hydrocephalus was identified in a total of 18 patients (early, n = 6; late, n = 12; P = 0.48). Hygroma developed in three patients (early, n = 2; late, n = 1; P = 0.56). A total of 28 patients presented with seizures postoperatively (Early, n = 12; Late, n = 16; P = 0.77). Sunken flap syndrome was noted in three patients (early, n = 0; late, n = 3; P = 0.29). Long-term mortality was encountered in two patients (early, n = 1; P = 0.78). [Table 4] outlines the details of the bivariate analysis of cranioplasty timing against postoperative complications.

DISCUSSION

The present study investigated the association of the neurological outcome and postoperative complications

Table 1: cranioplast	Demographics y.	of	all	patients	who	underwent
Variable				n		%
Mean age± 31.4±13.9	standard deviatio	on		-		-
474 3+649 1						
Gender						
Male				86		85.1
Female				15	14.8	
Comorbidi	ties [†]					
No				84		83.1
Yes				17	16.8	
External ve	entricular drain					
No				75		74.2
Yes				26	25.7	
Ventriculo	peritoneal shunt					
No				82		81.1
Yes	Yes			19	18.8	
Body mass	index					
24.0±6.0	kg/m ²					
Timing of o	cranioplasty [‡]					
Early				41		40.5

*The follow-up duration is calculated in days from the day of cranioplasty until the last visit to the clinic. [†]Comorbidities refer to the confirmed diagnosis of either diabetes, hypertension, or cancer. [‡]Early cranioplasty is defined as surgery performed within 90 days of decompressive craniectomy

60

59.4

relative to the timing of cranioplasty at a Level l trauma center. The overall postoperative complication rates between patients who underwent early versus late cranioplasty were statistically insignificant. The neurological outcome, assessed by the mRS and GOS, was not affected by cranioplasty timing. The P-values in the subgroups, early versus late, were statistically insignificant. In our institutional experience, the optimal timing for performing cranioplasty is dependent on the resolution of cerebral swelling. **Table 2:** Characteristics of decompressive craniectomy between early versus late cranioplasty.

Variable	Timing *				
	Earl	y (n=41)	Late (<i>n</i> =60)		
	n	%	п	%	
Time to decompressive					
craniectomy (h)					
<24	32	78	46	76.6	
>24	9	21.9	14	23.3	
Side of decompressive					
craniectomy					
Right	23	56	26	43.3	
Left	16	39	25	41.6	
Bifrontal	2	4.8	9	15	
Indication of decompressive					
craniectomy					
Traumatic brain Injury	26	63.4	38	63.3	
Malignant cerebral	15	36.5	22	36.6	
infarction					
*Early cranioplasty is defined as surgery performed within 90 days of decompressive craniectomy					

Table 3: Comparison between cranioplasty timing versuscontinuous variables.

Variable		<i>P</i> -value [†]			
	Early ((n=41)	Late (n=60)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age	32.0	14.9	31.0	13.3	0.71
Body mass index	22.9	6.0	24.9	5.9	0.12
Glasgow Outcome	4.1	1.0	4.0	1.0	0.64
Scale					
Modified Rankin	2.2	1.7	2.3	1.7	0.74
scale					
Follow-up duration [‡]	315.6	607.2	582.6	659.3	0.04
Intensive care unit [‡]	4.7	7.9	6.8	13.1	0.35

*Early cranioplasty is defined as surgery performed within 90 days of decompressive craniectomy. [†]Significance level at <0.05. [‡]The follow-up duration is calculated in days from the day of cranioplasty until the last visit to the clinic. The length of stay in the intensive care unit is calculated in days. SD: Standard deviation

Although the definition of early cranioplasty varies in the literature, early cranioplasty is commonly set at 90 days after DC.^[7,9,44] Therefore, in the present study, the 90-day cutoff definition was applied. As a result, patients were classified into two groups. All bone flaps after DC were implanted in subcutaneous abdominal pockets in the present study. In our practice, bone flaps are fixated using miniplates with excellent cosmetic results.^[2] While some institutions have abandoned autologous implantation of bone flaps, preservation of bone flaps in abdominal pockets can be safely performed with satisfactory outcomes.^[2] In addition, being a trauma center,

Table 4: Analysis of cranioplasty timing against the risk of postoperative complications.

Variable		<i>P</i> -value [†]				
	Early	(<i>n</i> =41)	Late (<i>n</i> =60)			
	n	%	n	%		
Hydrocephalus					0.48	
No	35	85.3	48	80		
Yes	6	14.6	12	20		
Hygroma					0.56	
No	39	95.1	59	98.3		
Yes	2	4.9	1	1.7		
Seizure					0.77	
No	29	70.7	44	73.3		
Yes	12	29.3	16	26.7		
Sunken flap syndrome					0.29	
No	41	100	57	95		
Yes	0	0.0	3	5		
Long-term mortality [‡]					0.78	
No	40	97.5	59	98		
Yes	1	2.5	1	1.6		
External ventricular					0.50	
drain						
No	29	70.7	46	76.6		
Yes	12	29.2	14	23.3		
Ventriculoperitoneal					0.71	
shunt						
No	34	82.9	48	80		
Yes	7	17	12	20		
*Early cranioplasty is defined as surgery performed within 90 days of						

decompressive craniectomy. [†]Significance level at <0.05. [‡]Long-term mortality is defined as death after 6 months of cranioplasty

the majority of our patients ($\approx 2/3$) had severe TBI, leading to malignant cerebral edema. Therefore, it is noteworthy to mention that the mRS and GOS are expected to slowly improve overtime after DC. As a result, the mRS and GOS were recorded after cranioplasty and not after DC.

Surgical site infection is one of the main risks complicating cranioplasty and has a significant impact on the reported outcome and patient's recovery. We recently published a paper with a cohort of our patients who underwent cranioplasty from subcutaneously preserved bone flaps in abdominal pockets.^[1] The incidence of SSI in all patients was 15.7%, concurring with the findings of Junior et al.^[1,3,24] Early cranioplasty after DC has been reported in a previous study to be associated with increased infection rates.^[12] However, other studies suggested that the timing of cranioplasty is not associated with increased risk of infection.^[5,19,45] Several systematic reviews compared early (<3 months) versus late (>3 months) cranioplasty in regard to the infection rate. In a systematic review of Yadla et al., the rate of infection in early versus late cranioplasty was statistically insignificant.^[45] The effect of timing on the rate of infection in cranioplasty is still debatable.[45]

In the present study, the risk of postoperative hydrocephalus, necessitating EVD insertion or ventriculoperitoneal shunt dependency, between both groups was statistically insignificant. Undoubtedly, subsequent surgical procedures following cranioplasty can affect the overall neurological outcome and drastically increase the length of stay. Of note, hydrocephalus is a common complication after cranioplasty, and it was reported to be higher in patients undergoing early cranioplasty.^[6,31,34,35,44] On the other side, it was shown that there is insignificant increase of hydrocephalus among patients undergoing early cranioplasty.^[7] There was no significant increase in intracranial hematoma and subdural fluid collection in patients undergoing early cranioplasty.^[14,37,38]

Hydrocephalus may start to develop secondarily to the disturbance in the dynamics of cerebrospinal fluid.^[29] Several studies reported the incidence of hydrocephalus after cranioplasty. Some studies defined hydrocephalus as the need of ventriculoperitoneal shunt insertion.^[21,35,42,49] On the contrary, other studies defined hydrocephalus as the finding of dilated ventricles on CT images with^[6] or without^[13] neurological deficit or poor improvement. The incidence of hydrocephalus reported by these studies was 5.6% (range 1.4–12.2%).^[6,13] The early cranioplasty group had a higher incidence of hydrocephalus (7.8%) while the late cranioplasty group had an incidence of 3.6%.^[29] The results of a previous study concluded that early cranioplasty (<90 days) had greater incidence of hydrocephalus than late cranioplasty (>90 days).^[29]

A systemic review of Xu *et al.* compared early versus late cranioplasty.^[44] A total of nine studies were included to investigate the overall complications, seven of which studied the infection rates.^[5,6,11,14,34,35,37,38,49] There was no difference between early versus late cranioplasty in terms of complications. Three articles analyzed the rate of hydrocephalus.^[6,34,35] Early cranioplasty patients had higher postoperative hydrocephalus rates. Four articles^[6,34,35,37] analyzed intracranial hemorrhage rates and three articles analyzed subdural fluid collection rate.^[34,37,38] There were no differences between intracranial hemorrhage rates and subdural hygroma rates.

Zheng *et al.* conducted a systematic review and a metaanalysis to investigate the effectiveness of early versus late cranioplasty.^[50] Their meta-analysis included a total of 413 patients who had cranioplasty following traumatic DC.^[50] In terms of postoperative complications, no difference was noted between early versus late cranioplasty.^[50] This is concurring with the findings of the present study.

Seizure is a major well-established complication following cranioplasty. New-onset seizure following cranioplasty was estimated to be 5–6%.^[31,39] Early seizure occurring within the

first 24 h after cranioplasty comprised 37%, 16% within the 1st week, and 47% after the 1st week.^[43] Yeap *et al.* published a study in 2018 where they investigated the incidence of postcranioplasty seizure among patients who underwent cranioplasty in their institute.^[47] Out of 336 patients who had no previous history of seizures, 89 patients (26.5%) had new onset seizures.^[47] Similarly, in the present study, seizures developed in 27% of patients who had cranioplasty, concurring with the results of Yeap *et al.*^[47] Although seizures are one of the most serious complications after cranioplasty, their incidence in both groups (early vs. late) was statistically insignificant.

The risk of seizure among patients who underwent cranioplasty has been associated with multiple factors. Previous TBI, hemorrhagic stroke, or neurological sequelae before cranioplasty increase the risk of developing seizure.^[27] Being a male, developing infection after cranioplasty, and older age have been also reported to increase the risk of seizure.^[48] However, male gender and older age were shown to be independent risk factors in multiple studies^[20,22,25,33,41] and increased age was associated with a higher risk of complications.^[11] Bitemporal and convexity cranioplasties were also associated with seizure, but the association was not statistically significant.^[48] Surgery following cranioplasty for evacuation of hematoma has been associated with increased risk of seizure, but it was also reported to be insignificant.^[48]

Timing of cranioplasty has been shown to be insignificant predictor for developing seizure following cranioplasty.^[31] However, other studies showed increased risk of seizure in patients who underwent late cranioplasty.^[28,43] Some studies also showed the benefits of early cranioplasty in minimizing the risk of seizure.^[32,40] In contrast, a systematic review concluded that seizure was more common in patients who underwent early cranioplasty compared to patients who underwent late cranioplasty.^[46] Regardless of the timing of cranioplasty, the use of prophylactic antiepileptic medications was shown to decrease the risk of postoperative seizure.^[46]

A systematic review by De Cola *et al.* showed that motor improvement occurs in the early cranioplasty group, coupled with improved other parameters, such as cognition.^[18] In another study by Archavlis *et al.*, their results were improved neurological function, including motor power if cranioplasty is performed within 7 weeks, compared to later than 7 weeks.^[4] Corallo *et al.* in two of their studies showed that motor improvement does occur in cranioplasty, regardless of the timing.^[16,17] The speculate mechanism of why motor improvement occurs is mainly due to postcranioplasty physical therapy, precluding the timing difference of cranioplasty on motor improvement. Multiple systematic studies showed the overall positive benefits of early cranioplasty on motor function, with similar side effect profile in early and late cranioplasty.^[18,30] In addition, extensive physical therapy postcranioplasty is essential to positively influence the motor component of patients. Being a major trauma center, a comprehensive rehabilitation program may be required while awaiting for cranioplasty. This serves to facilitate recovery and improve the occupational status of the patient. At our institute, all patients are referred to a physical therapy program within 48 h of admission for DC/cranioplasty to ensure early rehabilitation. Those patients are evaluated daily for motor improvement, functional capacity, and neurological outcome. In the present study, postoperative GOS and mRS between both groups were statistically insignificant, indicating a similar neurological outcome.

Finally, there are a few limitations that need to be acknowledged before interpreting the results of the current study. First, the retrospective design of the study renders it liable to selection and information bias. Second, as all patients had autologous cranioplasty, patients with nonautologous cranioplasty were excluded from the study, limiting the overall number. In addition, the risk of bone flap resorption could not be investigated as a possible postoperative complication of cranioplasty due to the variation in the measurement. However, innumerable efforts were taken to address the obstacles in the current study. Despite these limitations, the present study highlighted the importance of investigating the neurological outcome and postoperative complications from a comparative perspective.

CONCLUSION

The neurological outcome in patients who underwent early versus late cranioplasty is almost identical. The differences in the rates of overall postoperative complications between early versus late cranioplasty were statistically insignificant. These findings are consistent with the previous published literature. When performing cranioplasty, timing is of less significance. Therefore, other clinical parameters, influencing the neurological outcome and postoperative complications, should be taken into consideration. Further multicenter, prospective studies investigating the neuropsychological outcome pre/postoperatively in relation to cranioplasty timing are required.

Declaration of patient consent

Institutional Review Board (IRB) permission obtained for the study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Alkhaibary A, Alharbi A, Abbas M, Algarni A, Abdullah JM, Almadani WH, *et al.* Predictors of surgical site infection in autologous cranioplasty: A retrospective analysis of subcutaneously preserved bone flaps in abdominal pockets. World Neurosurg 2020;133:e627-32.
- 2. Alkhaibary A, Alharbi A, Alnefaie N, Aloraidi A, Khairy S. Cranioplasty: A comprehensive review of the history, materials, surgical aspects, and complications. World Neurosurg 2020;139:445-52.
- 3. Alkhaibary A, Alharbi A, Khairy S. In reply to the letter to the editor regarding "predictors of surgical site infection in cranioplasty: A retrospective analysis of subcutaneously preserved bone flaps in abdominal pockets. World Neurosurg 2020;139:658.
- Archavlis E, Carvi Y Nievas M. The impact of timing of cranioplasty in patients with large cranial defects after decompressive hemicraniectomy. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2012;154:1055-62.
- 5. Beauchamp KM, Kashuk J, Moore EE, Bolles G, Rabb C, Seinfeld J, *et al.* Cranioplasty after postinjury decompressive craniectomy: Is timing of the essence? J Trauma 2010;69:270-4.
- Bender A, Heulin S, Röhrer S, Mehrkens JH, Heidecke V, Straube A, *et al.* Early cranioplasty may improve outcome in neurological patients with decompressive craniectomy. Brain Inj 2013;27:1073-9.
- 7. Bjornson A, Tajsic T, Kolias AG, Wells A, Naushahi MJ, Anwar F, *et al.* A case series of early and late cranioplastycomparison of surgical outcomes. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2019;161:467-72.
- Bobinski L, Koskinen LO, Lindvall P. Complications following cranioplasty using autologous bone or polymethylmethacrylate-retrospective experience from a single center. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2013;115:1788-91.
- 9. Borger V, Schuss P, Kinfe TM, Vatter H, Güresir E. Decompressive craniectomy for stroke: Early cranioplasty is a predictor for postoperative complications. World Neurosurg 2016;92:83-8.
- 10. Brommeland T, Rydning PN, Pripp AH, Helseth E. Cranioplasty complications and risk factors associated with bone flap resorption. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2015;23:75.
- 11. Chang V, Hartzfeld P, Langlois M, Mahmood A, Seyfried D. Outcomes of cranial repair after craniectomy. J Neurosurg 2010;112:1120-4.
- 12. Cheng YK, Weng HH, Yang JT, Lee MH, Wang TC, Chang CN. Factors affecting graft infection after cranioplasty. J Clin Neurosci 2008;15:1115-9.
- Cho KC, Park SC, Choe IS, Seo DH. Safety and efficacy of early cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy in traumatic brain injury patients. J Korean Neurotraumatol Soc 2011;7:74.
- 14. Chun HJ, Yi HJ. Efficacy and safety of early cranioplasty, at least within 1 month. J Craniofac Surg 2011;22:203-7.
- 15. Cooper DJ, Rosenfeld JV, Murray L, Arabi YM, Davies AR, D'Urso P, *et al.* Decompressive craniectomy in diffuse

traumatic brain injury. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1493-502.

- Corallo F, De Cola MC, Lo Buono V, Marra A, De Luca R, Trinchera A, *et al*. Early vs late cranioplasty: What is better? Int J Neurosci 2017;127:688-93.
- 17. Corallo F, Marra A, Bramanti P, Calabrò RS. Effect of cranioplasty on functional and neuropsychological recovery after severe acquired brain injury: Fact or fake? Considerations on a single case. Funct Neurol 2014;29:273-5.
- de Cola MC, Corallo F, Pria D, Lo Buono V, Calabrò RS. Timing for cranioplasty to improve neurological outcome: A systematic review. Brain Behav 2018;8:e01106.
- Gooch MR, Gin GE, Kenning TJ, German JW. Complications of cranioplasty following decompressive craniectomy: Analysis of 62 cases. Neurosurg Focus 2009;26:E9.
- Hauser WA, Annegers JF, Kurland LT. Incidence of epilepsy and unprovoked seizures in Rochester, Minnesota: 1935-1984. Epilepsia 1993;34:453-8.
- Hng D, Bhaskar I, Khan M, Budgeon C, Damodaran O, Knuckey N, *et al.* Delayed cranioplasty: Outcomes using frozen autologous bone flaps. Craniomaxillofac Trauma Reconstr 2015;8:190-7.
- 22. Hopkins A, Garman A, Clarke C, The Research Unit of the Royal College of Physicians. The first seizure in adult life. Value of clinical features, electroencephalography, and computerised tomographic scanning in prediction of seizure recurrence. Lancet 1988;331:721-6.
- 23. Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. Lancet 1975;305:480-4.
- 24. Junior AC, Filho PT, Gonçalves MP, Neto AA, Zanini MA. Cranioplasty: An institutional experience. J Craniofac Surg 2018;29:1402-5.
- 25. King MA, Newton MR, Jackson GD, Fitt GJ, Mitchell LA, Silvapulle MJ, *et al.* Epileptology of the first-seizure presentation: A clinical, electroencephalographic, and magnetic resonance imaging study of 300 consecutive patients. Lancet 1998;352:1007-11.
- 26. Klinger DR, Madden C, Beshay J, White J, Gambrell K, Rickert K. Autologous and acrylic cranioplasty: A review of 10 years and 258 cases. World Neurosurg 2014;82:e525-30.
- 27. Lee L, Ker J, Quah BL, Chou N, Choy D, Yeo TT. A retrospective analysis and review of an institution's experience with the complications of cranioplasty. Br J Neurosurg 2013;27:629-35.
- 28. Li G, Wen L, Yang XF, Zheng XJ, Zhan RY, Liu WG. Efficacy of large decompressive craniectomy in severe traumatic brain injury. Chin J Traumatol 2008;11:253-6.
- 29. Malcolm JG, Rindler RS, Ahmad FU. In reply: Early cranioplasty is associated with greater neurological improvement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurosurgery 2018;83:E90.
- 30. Malcolm JG, Rindler RS, Chu JK, Chokshi F, Grossberg JA, Pradilla G, *et al.* Early cranioplasty is associated with greater neurological improvement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Neurosurg 2018;82:278-88.
- Malcolm JG, Rindler RS, Chu JK, Grossberg JA, Pradilla G, Ahmad FU. Complications following cranioplasty and relationship to timing: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Neurosci 2016;33:39-51.
- 32. Morton RP, Abecassis IJ, Hanson JF, Barber JK, Chen M, Kelly CM, *et al.* Timing of cranioplasty: A 10.75-year single-

center analysis of 754 patients. J Neurosurg 2018;128:1648-52.

- Musicco M, Beghi E, Solari A, Viani F. Treatment of first tonicclonic seizure does not improve the prognosis of epilepsy. Neurology 1997;49:991-8.
- 34. Piedra MP, Nemecek AN, Ragel BT. Timing of cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy for trauma. Surg Neurol Int 2014;5:25.
- Piedra MP, Ragel BT, Dogan A, Coppa ND, Delashaw JB. Timing of cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy for ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke. J Neurosurg 2013;118:109-14.
- Rankin J. Cerebral vascular accidents in patients over the age of 60: II. Prognosis. Scott Med J 1957;2:200-15.
- 37. Schuss P, Vatter H, Marquardt G, Imöhl L, Ulrich CT, Seifert V, *et al.* Cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy: The effect of timing on postoperative complications. J Neurotrauma 2012;29:1090-5.
- 38. Song J, Liu M, Mo X, Du H, Huang H, Xu GZ. Beneficial impact of early cranioplasty in patients with decompressive craniectomy: Evidence from transcranial Doppler ultrasonography. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2014;156:193-8.
- Spencer R, Manivannan S, Sharouf F, Bhatti MI, Zaben M. Risk factors for the development of seizures after cranioplasty in patients that sustained traumatic brain injury: A systematic review. Seizure 2019;69:11-6.
- 40. Thavarajah D, de Lacy P, Hussien A, Sugar A. The minimum time for cranioplasty insertion from craniectomy is six months to reduce risk of infection-a case series of 82 patients. Br J Neurosurg 2012;26:78-80.
- 41. van Donselaar CA, Schimsheimer RJ, Geerts AT, Declerck AC. Value of the electroencephalogram in adult patients with untreated idiopathic first seizures. Arch Neurol 1992;49:231-7.
- 42. Walcott BP, Kwon CS, Sheth SA, Fehnel CR, Koffie RM, Asaad WF, *et al.* Predictors of cranioplasty complications in stroke and trauma patients. J Neurosurg 2013;118:757-62.
- 43. Wang H, Zhang K, Cao H, Zhang X, Li Y, Wei Q, *et al.* Seizure after cranioplasty: Incidence and risk factors. J Craniofac Surg 2017;28:e560-4.
- 44. Xu H, Niu C, Fu X, Ding W, Ling S, Jiang X, *et al.* Early cranioplasty vs. late cranioplasty for the treatment of cranial defect: A systematic review. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2015;136:33-40.
- 45. Yadla S, Campbell PG, Chitale R, Maltenfort MG, Jabbour P, Sharan AD. Effect of early surgery, material, and method of flap preservation on cranioplasty infections: A systematic review. Neurosurgery 2011;68:1124-30.
- Yao Z, Hu X, You C. The incidence and treatment of seizures after cranioplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Neurosurg 2018;32:489-94.
- 47. Yeap MC, Chen CC, Liu ZH, Hsieh PC, Lee CC, Liu YT, *et al.* Postcranioplasty seizures following decompressive craniectomy and seizure prophylaxis: A retrospective analysis at a single institution. J Neurosurg 2018;131:936-40.
- 48. Zanaty M, Chalouhi N, Starke RM, Clark SW, Bovenzi CD, Saigh M, *et al.* Complications following cranioplasty: Incidence and predictors in 348 cases. J Neurosurg 2015;123:182-8.
- 49. Zhang G, Yang W, Jiang Y, Zeng T. Extensive duraplasty with autologous graft in decompressive craniectomy and subsequent early cranioplasty for severe head trauma. Chin J Traumatol 2010;13:259-64.

50. Zheng F, Xu H, von Spreckelsen N, Stavrinou P, Timmer M, Goldbrunner R, *et al.* Early or late cranioplasty following decompressive craniotomy for traumatic brain injury: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Int Med Res 2018;46:2503-12.

How to cite this article: Aloraidi A, Alkhaibary A, Alharbi A, Alnefaie N, Alaglan A, Algarni A, *et al.* Effect of cranioplasty timing on the functional neurological outcome and postoperative complications. Surg Neurol Int 2021;12:264.