www.surgicalneurologyint.com



Case Report

Surgical Neurology International

Editor-in-Chief: Nancy E. Epstein, MD, Professor of Clinical Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, State U. of NY at Stony Brook.

SNI: Neuro-oncology

SNI。 Open Access

Editor Mitsutoshi Nakada, MD Kanazawa University, Ishikawa, Japan

A rare case of giant infrasellar craniopharyngioma with extensive invasion of the pterygopalatine fossa: A case report and literature review

Vittor Sérgio Santos de Quintela¹, Sofia Morais Silva Almeida¹, Arthur Campos do Nascimento¹, Nelson Almeida D'Ávila Melo², Arthur Maynart Pereira Oliveira³

¹Department of Medicine, Federal University of Sergipe, ²Department of Medicine, Universidade Tiradentes, ³Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital de Cirurgia, Aracaju, Brazil.

E-mail: Vittor Sérgio Santos de Quintela - vittor.sergio15@gmail.com; Sofia Morais Silva Almeida - sofiams.almeida@gmail.com; Arthur Campos do Nascimento - arthur2608@academico.ufs.br; Nelson Almeida D'Ávila Melo - nelsondavila2000@gmail.com; *Arthur Maynart Pereira Oliveira - arthurmaynart@icloud.com



***Corresponding author:** Arthur Maynart Pereira Oliveira, Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital de Cirurgia, Aracaju, Brazil.

arthurmaynart@icloud.com

Received: 09 February 2025 Accepted: 23 March 2025 Published: 02 May 2025

DOI 10.25259/SNI_134_2025

Quick Response Code:



ABSTRACT

Background: Craniopharyngiomas are benign epithelial tumors that arise along the craniopharyngeal duct, commonly located in the sellar or suprasellar region. Infrasellar extension is a rare variant and may involve the nasopharynx, sphenoid sinus, clivus, and pterygopalatine fossa.

Case Description: A 66-year-old male patient is presented to the otorhinolaryngology service due to a complaint of left ear obstruction for the past 4 months. After no response to clinical treatment, investigation with computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging showed a heterogeneous lesion with areas of calcification and bone destruction located in the sphenoid sinus region, which projected inferiorly and laterally invading the clivus in its entirety, the petrous apex, middle fossa, pterygopalatine, and infratemporal fossae with no involvement of the sellar/suprasellar region. The patient was referred to a multidisciplinary skull base surgery group that performed an extended transpterygoid endoscopic endonasal approach with gross total resection. The anatomopathological study was consistent with adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma.

Conclusion: We present a rare case of a giant infrasellar craniopharyngioma with extensive invasion of the skull base without involvement of the sella or the pituitary gland.

Keywords: Case report, Craniopharyngioma, Literature review, Skull base neoplasms, Sphenoid sinus

INTRODUCTION

Craniopharyngiomas are benign epithelial tumors that arise along the craniopharyngeal duct.^[20,25,33] Despite being classified as benign, their local aggressiveness can cause significant morbidity.^[15,33] Craniopharyngiomas have a very low incidence rate, approximately 0.13/100,000 people/year.^[20] Despite their rarity, they account for 2–5% of intracranial neoplasms in adults, and children, their incidence rises to 5.6–15%, making them the most common neoplasm in the hypothalamic-pituitary region in childhood.^[15,20,22,25] This tumor presents a bimodal age distribution, with the first peak occurring from 5 to 14 years old and the second from 50 to 74 years old.^[20,22,25]

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2025 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Surgical Neurology International

Craniopharyngiomas usually grow along the vertical axis from the sella to the third ventricle.^[27] From these areas, they may expand into the anterior (2–5%), middle (2%), or posterior (1–4%) cranial fossa. Infrasellar extension is a rare variant found in only about 5% of cases, which may involve the nasopharynx, sphenoid sinus, clivus, and ethmoids.^[15,25,32] In addition, medical literature records cases of craniopharyngiomas arising in locations such as the third ventricle, pineal gland, Sylvian fissure, and cerebellopontine angle.^[15] The most common location of infrasellar craniopharyngiomas is the sphenoid sinus, either alone or combined with other sites such as the nasopharynx, sella turcica, suprasellar, ethmoid sinuses, or maxillary sinus.^[33]

Isolated infrasellar craniopharyngiomas without sellar and pituitary involvement are exceedingly rare. In this case report and literature review, we will scrutinize an infrasellar craniopharyngioma, an uncommon incidence, discussing its clinical and diagnostic characteristics, as well as the treatment approaches.

CASE REPORT

A 66-year-old male patient with a medical history of diabetes mellitus using oral hypoglycemic agents, without other comorbidities or prior surgeries, sought the otorhinolaryngology (ORL) service due to the sensation of aural fullness on the left for approximately 4 months. In the initial investigation with the ORL team, during clinical examination, secretion was found in the left middle ear. After the lack of response to clinical treatment with oral antibiotics, ventilation tube implantation was performed, followed by an investigation with computed tomography (CT) of the skull and mastoid region.

The brain CT not only revealed signs of chronic involvement of the left mastoid but also depicted a heterogeneous lesion with areas of calcification and bone destruction located in the sphenoid sinus region. This lesion projected inferiorly and laterally, invading the clivus throughout its extent, the petrous apex on the left side, the middle fossa on the same side, and extending to the pterygopalatine and infratemporal fossae on the left [Figure 1]. Following the brain CT, the ORL team requested brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The MRI demonstrated an increased volume of the lesion, which was already obstructing the region of the left auditory tube and bilateral nasopharynx; however, it did not reveal the involvement of the sellar/suprasellar region. The lesion exhibited isosignal on T1, hyposignal on T2, and on contrast-enhanced MRI, it presented with heterogeneous contrast enhancement [Figure 2]. During the month in which preparatory complementary examinations for surgery were conducted, the patient progressed to complete bilateral nasal obstruction.



Figure 1: Preoperative computed brain tomography showing a heterogeneous lesion in the sphenoid region with signs of calcification. In (a), an axial image showing the invasion of the clivus, petrous apex in the temporal bone. In (b), another inferior image shows the extension to the pterygopalatine and infratemporal fossae on the left. In (c) (coronal) and (d) (sagittal) images, we can see the integrity of the sellar floor and all anterior skull bases.

After MRI, the patient was referred to our multidisciplinary skull base surgery group. Following clarification of risks and benefits, surgical treatment was indicated through an extended endoscopic endonasal approach, as this provided optimal access to the tumor epicenter without jeopardizing major vessels and nerves. Meticulous planning was undertaken to ensure safe tumor removal in proximity to the internal carotid artery, particularly at its paraclival segment, considering tumor extension through the middle cranial fossa superiorly and the infratemporal fossa inferiorly. Under general anesthesia, the patient was placed in a horizontal supine position, with the head secured using Mayfield three points, and neurophysiological monitoring of cranial nerves was performed. Extended endoscopic endonasal access was performed with a transmaxillary and transpterygoid approach, followed by harvesting of a vascularized nasoseptal flap from the right side. At this point, a sizable, bleeding lesion with coarse calcifications occupying the nasal fossa was observed. We performed a central debulking of the lesion, followed by an attempt to reach all its boundaries. Subsequently, the entire anterior portion of the sellar floor was exposed to completely resect the lesion. The petrous apex



Figure 2: Preoperative magnetic resonance image showing in (a and b) axial T1 without and T1 with contrasted showing a heterogenous enhancement of contrast. In (c), a coronal T2 shows a hypo signal lesion. In (d) (coronal) and (e) (sagittal) contrasted T1, showing the extension of the lesion to pterygopalatine and infratemporal fossae without invasion of the sellar floor and anterior skull base.

was exposed without a contralateral transmaxillary corridor, allowing visualization of the genu of the petrous segment of the internal carotid artery and removal of the extradural lesion in the middle cranial fossa. Later, we addressed the pterygoid fossa region, achieving apparent complete resection. At the end of the procedure, the vascularized nasoseptal flap was positioned over the exposed left carotid, with no signs of cerebrospinal fluid leakage at any point.

surgical pathology report revealed that The it epithelioid neoplasm with was an calcifications, immunohistochemistry showed p63, cytokeratin (AE1/ AE3) and Ki-67 (<1%), and grade 1 adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma as the final diagnosis. The postoperative MRI revealed gross total resection [Figure 3]. The patient showed favorable progress, with no significant clinical complications, remaining in the intensive care unit for only 1 day and being discharged from the hospital on the 5th day after the surgical procedure. Thirty days after surgery, the patient underwent intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) radiotherapy with a total dose of 5040 cGy, divided into 28 sessions. During the 12 months of outpatient follow-up, the patient progressed well with no signs of recurrence of the lesion.

DISCUSSION

Craniopharyngiomas are benign neoplasms characterized by the presence of cystic, solid, or mixed components, exhibiting irregular morphology and adherence to surrounding structures.^[31] They can be classified into two



Figure 3: Postoperative MRI showing gross total resection with preservation of the anterior skull base in (a) T2-weightened image coronal view, (b) T1 axial, (c) coronal and (d) sagittal view.

main types: adamantinomatous and papillary, with the latter accounting for 10–30% of cases.^[28] Adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas can manifest at any age, although they are more prevalent in children and adolescents. In contrast, papillary craniopharyngiomas are predominantly observed in adults.^[20,28,31] Approximately 80% of papillary craniopharyngiomas originate in the superior infundibular portion of the pituitary stalk and the tuber cinereum, expanding into the third ventricle. On the other hand, adamantinomatous craniopharyngiomas most commonly arise from the solid portion of the infundibulum. Infrasellar craniopharyngiomas are predominantly of the adamantinomatous type.^[28]

The pathogenesis of infrasellar craniopharyngiomas is primarily attributed to the persistence of remnants of the craniopharyngeal duct.^[20,25,27,28,33] This transient structure, which connects the stomodeal ectoderm to Rathke's pouch, normally involutes during fetal development. However, incomplete regression may lead to neoplastic transformation of the remaining cells.^[20,28] During embryogenesis, Rathke's pouch, formed from the primitive oral ectoderm, grows toward the diencephalon to form the adenohypophysis. However, cellular remnants may persist along the craniopharyngeal canal, which extends from the sella turcica to the vomer, giving rise to ectopic craniopharyngiomas along the midline.[25,27] In addition, epithelial cells displaced from the embryonic oral cavity or Rathke's pouch, deposited beneath the sella before the involution of the craniopharyngeal duct, may contribute to the formation of these tumors.^[25] Erdheim (1904) suggested that craniopharyngiomas could arise at any point along this pathway, including the vomer, the roof of the nasopharynx, and the sphenoid bone, supporting the hypothesis of aberrant migration or embryonic cell entrapment.^[14,28,33]

The clinical findings of these lesions are closely related to their size and location, as well as the degree of compression of surrounding structures.^[33] The symptomatology of suprasellar tumors is often characterized by defects in the visual field, pituitary deficits, and/or features of increased intracranial pressure.^[31,33] On the other hand, infrasellar craniopharyngiomas clinically present with symptoms such as frontal headache, nasal obstruction, epistaxis, cavernous sinus syndrome, and nasopharyngeal and/or nasal fossa masses.^[15,21,31,33] It is important to emphasize that signs of pituitary dysfunction are not commonly observed in infrasellar craniopharyngiomas.[15] The present case manifested with progressive obstruction of the left auditory tube and progressed to complete bilateral nasal obstruction without pituitary insufficiency, suggesting that the tumor originated in the sphenoid sinus, with subsequent extensive invasion of the skull base.

Infrasellar craniopharyngiomas share radiological characteristics with intracranial craniopharyngiomas.^[13] The diagnosis of these neoplasms can be established through imaging studies such as radiography, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, and cerebral angiography. According to Pusey *et al.*, CT is particularly indicated for assessing bone anatomy and detecting calcifications, providing specificity in diagnosing craniopharyngiomas. On the other hand, MRI, especially

when employed with contrast, is valuable for analyzing tumor structure, demonstrating greater sensitivity than CT in identifying the extent of the tumor, and is therefore preferable in presurgical and radiotherapeutic planning.^[3,29] It is noteworthy that the specific determination of infrasellar craniopharyngiomas requires endoscopic biopsies.^[13] The precise identification of lesions in the infrasellar region is a diagnostic challenge that demands a comprehensive and specialized approach involving different professionals and tools to differentiate between a variety of intracranial and extracranial conditions, with the crucial role of otolaryngologists in distinguishing among these conditions. The differential diagnosis includes encephaloceles, mucoceles, chordomas, squamous cell carcinomas, sarcomas, rhabdomyosarcoma, juvenile angiofibroma, and inverted papilloma.[13,16,25]

Craniopharyngiomas represent a formidable surgical challenge, even with advancements in contemporary neurosurgical techniques.^[20] The fundamental approach to these tumors involves either complete or partial surgical resection, a principle particularly applicable to extracranial variants that lack close association with the optic apparatus or hypothalamus.^[1,33] The choice of surgical approach should ensure wide exposure of all parts of the tumor while minimizing damage to surrounding vital structures. This decision is nuanced by factors such as the tumor's location, consistency, degree of calcification, shape, and size, along with the surgeon's preferences and expertise.^[20] In the strategic planning of the surgery, critical considerations include evaluating the tumor's extension to the optic chiasm, pituitary, stalk, hypothalamus, carotid artery, and cerebral artery, skull base bone invasion, and relationship with cranial nerves.^[5] At present, radiotherapy stands as the most prevalent adjuvant treatment, playing a pivotal role in forestalling recurrence and enhancing overall survival.^[33] Even after total surgical removal, long-term recurrence of craniopharyngioma is a concern, with the extent of resection being a key factor in recurrence rates. Following complete removal, the 5-year recurrence rate is 13%, escalating to as high as 50% with incomplete excision. [25,26,33] In addition, lesions exceeding 5 cm in size, as well as the adamantinomatous subtype, portend a more unfavorable prognosis. Recurrence-free survival rates exhibit a range between 60% and 93% at the 10-year mark, while overall survival ranges from 64% to 96%.^[22]

The endoscopic approach stands out for the significant advantage of providing extensive visualization of the tumor's origin site and the areas affected by the tumor. In comparison to the transcranial microscopic approach, the endoscopic approach holds the promise of a higher rate of total resection.^[5] The use of angled endoscopes offers an expanded field of view, while the "four hands" technique allows the employment of additional instruments through the nasal cavities.

| Table 1: List of reported cases of infrasellar craniopharyngioma. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-----------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------|------------|--|--|
| Author | Year | Sex/Age | Symptoms | Histological type | Tumor location | Surgical approach | Degree of resection | Radiotherapy | Recurrence | | |
| Illum <i>et al.</i> ^[18] | 1977 | Female/14 | Headache, visual impairment, diplopia | NA | NPX, SS, ST | Transpalatal | NA | Yes | Yes | | |
| Mukada et al. ^[24] | 1984 | Male/13 | Visual impairment | NA | SS, NPX, C, ST, S, PC | Sublabial rhinoseptal transsphenoidal | Subtotal | NA | NA | | |
| Benitez <i>et al</i> . ^[6] | 1988 | Male/29 | Nasal obstruction | NA | NPX, SS, ES, MS, C, CS, MCF, FR | Lateral rhinotomy and ethmoidectomy | Subtotal | Yes | NA | | |
| Akimura <i>et al.</i> ^[3] | 1989 | Female/12 | Visual impairment | NA | SS, ES, CS, PPF, ITF | Transnasal | Subtotal | NA | NA | | |
| Byrne and Sessões. ^[8] | 1990 | Male/29 | Nasal obstruction | Adamantinomatous | NF, NPX, SS, MS, ES, C | Lateral rhinotomy | Subtotal | Yes | No | | |
| Cheddadi et al. ^[10] | 1996 | Female/0 | Nasal obstruction | NA | NPX | Endoscopic endonasal | Total | NA | No | | |
| Deutsch <i>et al.</i> ^[13] | 2001 | Male/8 | Headache, visual impairment | NA | SS, ES | Subfrontal transbasal | Subtotal | Yes | No | | |
| Falavigna and Kraemer ^[15] | 2001 | Female/34 | Headache, diplopia | Adamantinomatous | SS, ES, ST | Sublabial rhinoseptal transsphenoidal | Subtotal | Yes | No | | |
| Chen ^[11] | 2001 | Male/8 | Visual impairment | Adamantinomatous | SS, S | Right frontotemporal craniotomy | Total | NA | No | | |
| Buhl <i>et al.</i> ^[7] | 2001 | Female/4 | Visual impairment | NA | NPX, SS, ES, CS, S | Subfrontal | Subtotal | No | No | | |
| Kachhara <i>et al.</i> ^[19] | 2002 | Male/28 | Headache, diplopia | Adamantinomatous | NPX, SS, ES, C, ST | Extended frontobasal | Total | NA | NA | | |
| Fujimoto et al. ^[16] | 2002 | Male/8 | Headache, nasal obstruction, visual impairment, exophthalmos | Adamantinomatous | SS, ES, MS, ST, S | Endonasal transsphenoidal | Subtotal | Yes | Yes | | |
| Ahsan <i>et al</i> . ^[2] | 2004 | Male/59 | Epistaxis | NA | NF, ES, MS | Denker's medial maxillectomy | Total | No | No | | |
| Rakheja <i>et al</i> . ^[30] | 2005 | Female/12 | Headache, visual impairment | Adamantinomatous | SS, ES, ST, C | NA | NA | NA | NA | | |
| Koral <i>et al</i> . ^[21] | 2006 | Female/12 | Headache, visual impairment | NA | SS | NA | NA | Yes | No | | |
| Shuman et al. ^[32] | 2007 | Male/8 | Nasal obstruction, snoring | Adamantinomatous | SS, NPX, C, NF | Le Fort I osteotomy | Total | NA | NA | | |
| Arndt <i>et al</i> . ^[4] | 2007 | Female/16 | Headache, nasal obstruction | Adamantinomatous | SS, ES, NF, C, ST, PP | Midfacial degloving | Total | NA | NA | | |

(Contd...)

| Table 1: (Continued). | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----------|--|-------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Author | Year | Sex/Age | Symptoms | Histological type | Tumor location | Surgical approach | Degree of resection | Radiotherapy | Recurrence | |
| Hwang et al. ^[17] | 2009 | Male/53 | Nasal obstruction | Adamantinomatous | PPF, MS | Denker's medial maxillectomy Endoscopic | Subtotal | NA | No | |
| Nourbakhsh et al. ^[25] | 2010 | Female/25 | Headache | NA | SS, ES | Endoscopic transsphenoidal | Total | NA | NA | |
| Magill <i>et al</i> . ^[23] | 2011 | Female/46 | Headache, nasal obstruction, epistaxis | Adamantinomatous | NPX, SS, C | Midfacial degloving | Total | Yes | No | |
| Chiun et al. ^[12] | 2012 | Male/6 | Nasal obstruction | Adamantinomatous | NF, NS | NA | NA | NA | No | |
| Kundu <i>et al</i> . ^[22] | 2014 | Male/55 | Headache, nasal obstruction, epistaxis, hyposmia | Adamantinomatous | NPX, SS, NF | Midfacial degloving | Total | Yes | No | |
| Senthilvel et al. ^[31] | 2014 | Male/68 | Headache, epistaxis | Papillary | SS, NF, ES, C, ST | Endoscopic transnasal | Total | NA | NA | |
| Zhang et al. ^[33] | 2015 | Female/16 | Headache, nasal obstruction | Adamantinomatous | SS, C | Endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal | Total | NA | No | |
| Abou-Al-Shaar et al. ^[1] | 2016 | Male/22 | Headache | Adamantinomatous | NPX, SS, C, NS | Expanded endoscopic endonasal | Total | No | No | |
| Preti <i>et al.</i> ^[26] | 2017 | Male/17 | Epistaxis | Adamantinomatous | ES, NF | Endoscopic endonasal | Total | NA | No | |
| Caklili <i>et al</i> . ^[9] | 2023 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | |

NPX: Nasopharynx, SS: Sphenoid sinus, ST: Sella turcica, S: Suprasellar, ES: Ethmoid sinuses, MS: Maxillary sinus, NF: Nasal fossa, NS: Nasal septum, PPF: Pterygopalatine fossa, C: Clivus, PP: Pterygoid process, CS: Cavernous sinus, PC: Prepontine cistern, ITF: Infratemporal fossa, MCF: Middle cranial fossa, FR: Foramen rotundum, NA: Not available

Furthermore, recent technological advancements, such as neuronavigation and intranasal Doppler, serve as precise tools for safely addressing the skull base. The most common postoperative complication observed following the extended endoscopic endonasal approach for craniopharyngiomas is cerebrospinal fluid leakage. Other complications associated with this technique include meningitis and hydrocephalus.^[5] Thus, the endoscopic endonasal technique proves to be safe and less traumatic compared to external approaches, avoiding aesthetic deformities and enabling shorter hospitalization periods.^[26]

In published studies, 27 cases of infrasellar craniopharyngiomas have been documented [Table 1]. Among these, 23 cases reported tumors without sellar or suprasellar invasion. One cohort was identified; Caklili *et al.*^[9] evaluated the surgical outcomes and follow-up of 44 pediatric craniopharyngioma cases. Of these, only one case

corresponded to an infrasellar craniopharyngioma, which was included in the present literature review [Table 1]. The most frequently observed symptom was headache, followed by nasal obstruction and visual impairment. Auditory obstruction was not mentioned in any of the analyzed cases. Regarding tumor location, most cases involved the sphenoid sinus region, followed by the ethmoid sinus, nasopharynx, and clivus. The pterygopalatine fossa was affected in only two cases: concerning the histological type, adamantinomatous tumors predominated, reinforcing their prevalence in this anatomical region. A wide variety of surgical approaches were observed, with emphasis on endoscopic techniques, such as transnasal and transsphenoidal approaches. Total resection was accomplished in most cases, especially in lesions with well-defined borders. Radiotherapy was mainly indicated in cases of subtotal resection. Tumor recurrence was recorded in only two cases.

CONCLUSION

We present a rare case of a giant infrasellar craniopharyngioma with extensive invasion of the skull base without involvement of sella turcica or the pituitary gland. Neuroimaging and surgical findings indicate the tumor's origin in the sphenoidal region with subsequent invasion of the clivus, petrous apex, middle fossa, pterygopalatine, and infratemporal fossae. Although classified as benign tumors, craniopharyngiomas represent significant challenges due to their local aggressiveness, resulting in considerable morbidity. Concerns about recurrence persist even after a good degree of resection, making long-term follow-up indispensable. The extended endoscopic endonasal approach stands out for providing excellent visualization of the involved anatomy, allowing for a safe and effective approach.

Ethical approval: Institutional Review Board approval is not required.

Declaration of patient consent: The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest.

Use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for manuscript preparation: The authors confirm that there was no use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for assisting in the writing or editing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

REFERENCES

- Abou-Al-Shaar H, Blitz AM, Rodriguez FJ, Ishii M, Gallia GL. Expanded endonasal endoscopic approach for resection of an infrasellar craniopharyngioma. World Neurosurg 2016;95:618. e7-12.
- Ahsan F, Rashid H, Chapman A, Ah-See KW. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma presenting as epistaxis, excised via denker's medial maxillectomy approach. J Laryngol Otol 2004;118:895-8.
- 3. Akimura T, Kameda H, Abiko S, Aoki H, Kido T. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma. Neuroradiology 1989;31:180-3.
- 4. Arndt S, Wiech T, Mader I, Maier W. Entire infrasellar craniopharyngioma simulating clival chordoma. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2007;137:981-3.
- 5. Baldauf J, Hosemann W, Schroeder HW. Endoscopic endonasal approach for craniopharyngiomas. Neurosurg Clin N Am 2015;26:363-75.
- 6. Benitez WI, Sartor KJ, Angtuaco EJ. Craniopharyngioma presenting as a nasopharyngeal mass: CT and MR findings. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1988;12:1068-72.
- 7. Buhl R, Nabavi A, Fritsch M, Mehdorn HM. Nasopharyngeal extension of a craniopharyngioma in a 4 year old girl. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2001;143:1283-5.
- Byrne MN, Sessions DG. Nasopharyngeal craniopharyngioma. Case report and literature review. Ann Otol Rhinol Laringol 1990;99:633-9.
- 9. Caklili M, Uzuner A, Yilmaz E, Duman Ozturk S, Cizmecioglu

Jones FM, Balci S, *et al.* Surgical outcomes and follow-up results of 53 pediatric craniopharyngioma cases: A single-center study. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2023;33:223-35.

- 10. Cheddadi D, Triki S, Gallet S, Marquet M, Muller JP, Renaud H, *et al.* Neonatal rhinopharyngeal obstruction due to craniopharyngioma. Arco Pediatr 1996;3:348-51.
- 11. Chen CJ. Suprasellar and infrasellar craniopharyngioma with a persistent craniopharyngeal canal: Case report and review of the literature. Neuroradiology 2001;43:760-2.
- 12. Chiun KC, Tang IP, Vikneswaran T, Nurshaline Pauline HK. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma of the posterior nasal septum: A rare entity. Med J Malaysia 2012;67:131-2.
- 13. Deutsch H, Kothbauer K, Persky M, Epstein FJ, Jallo GI. Infrasellar craniopharyngiomas: Case report and review of the literature. Skull Base 2001;11:121-8.
- 14. Erdheim J. About hypophyseal adenomas and brain craniopharyngiomas. Akad Wiss Wien 1904;113:537-726.
- 15. Falavigna A, Kraemer JL. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma: Case report. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2001;59:424-30.
- Fujimoto Y, Matsushita H, Velasco O, Rosemberg S, Plese JP, Marino R Jr. Craniopharyngioma involving the infrasellar region: A case report and review of the literature. Pediatr Neurosurg 2002;37:210-6.
- 17. Hwang KR, Lee JY, Byun JY, Hong HS, Koh ES. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma originating from the pterygopalatine fossa with invasion to the maxillary sinus. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;47:422-4.
- Illum P, Elbrond O, Nehen AM. Surgical treatment of nasophrayngeal craniopharyngioma. Radical removal by the transpalatal approach. J Laryngol Otol 1977;91:227-33.
- 19. Kachhara R, Nair S, Gupta AK, Radhakrishnan VV, Bhattacharya RN. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma mimicking a clival chordoma: A case report. Neurol India 2002;50:198-200.
- Karavitaki N, Wass JA. Craniopharyngiomas. In: Endocrinology and metabolism clinics of North America. Netherlands: Elsevier Saunders; 2008;37:173-13.
- 21. Koral K, Weprin B, Rollins NK. Sphenoid sinus craniopharyngioma simulating mucocele. Acta Radiol 2006;47:494-6.
- 22. Kundu S, Dewan K, Varshney H, Das S, Mukhopadhyay S, Ghosh A. An atypical rare case of extracranial craniopharyngioma. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2014;66:122-5.
- 23. Magill JC, Ferguson MS, Sandison A, Clarke PM. Nasal craniopharyngioma: Case report and literature review. J Laryngol Otol 2011;125:517-9.
- 24. Mukada K, Mori S, Matsumura S, Uozumi T, Goishi J. Infrasellar craniopharyngioma. Surg Neurol 1984;21:565-71.
- 25. Nourbakhsh A, Brown B, Vannemreddy P, Lian T, Nanda A, Guthikonda B. Extracranial infrasellar ectopic craniopharyngioma: A case report and review of the literature. Skull Base 2010;20:475-80.
- Preti A, Karligkiotis A, Facco C, Ottini G, Volpi L, Castelnuovo P. Primary ectopic ethmoidal craniopharyngioma. J Craniofac Surg 2017;28:944-6.
- 27. Prieto R, Barrios L, Pascual JM. Strictly third ventricle craniopharyngiomas: Pathological verification, anatomoclinical characterization and surgical results from a

comprehensive overview of 245 cases. Neurosurg Rev 2022;45:375-94.

- Prieto R, Juratli TA, Bander ED, Santagata S, Barrios L, Brastianos PK, *et al.* Papillary Craniopharyngioma: An integrative and comprehensive review. Endocr Rev 2024;46:151-213.
- 29. Pusey E, Kortman KE, Flannigan BD, Tsuruda J, Bradley WG. MR of craniopharyngiomas: Tumor delineation and characterization. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1987;149:383-8.
- Rakheja D, Meehan JJ, Gomez AM. Pathologic quiz case: Sphenoid sinus mass in a 12-year-old girl. Infrasellar adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2005;129:e73-4.
- 31. Senthilvel HN, Krishnan SS, Vasudevan MC. Extracranial

infrasellar craniopharyngioma. Neurol India 2014;62:100-3.

- Shuman AG, Heth JA, Marentette LJ, Blaivas M, Muraszko KM. Extracranial nasopharyngeal craniopharyngioma: Case report. Neurosurgery 2007;60:E780-1; discussion E781.
- Zhang Z, Li MY, Liu YW, Wang YZ. An infrasellar craniopharyngioma involving the sphenoid sinus and clivus. Chin Med J (Engl) 2015;128:844-5.

How to cite this article: Quintela VSS, Almeida SMS, Nascimento AC, Melo NAD, Oliveira AMP. A rare case of giant infrasellar craniopharyngioma with extensive invasion of the pterygopalatine fossa: A case report and literature review. Surg Neurol Int. 2025;16:161. doi: 10.25259/SNI_134_2025

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Journal or its management. The information contained in this article should not be considered to be medical advice; patients should consult their own physicians for advice as to their specific medical needs.